

# Gun Control

This week I am going to talk about gun control. This article may be a little longer than usual as I feel the need to address the 2nd amendment since some seem to be confused.

The first 10 amendments to the Constitution make up the Bill of Rights. James Madison, with help from a few others, is noted to be the primary author of these amendments, which list specific prohibitions on governmental power, in response to calls from several states for greater constitutional protection for individual liberties. The 2nd amendment of the bill of rights states:

**“A well regulated militia, being necessary to the security of a free state, the right of the people to keep and bear arms, shall not be infringed.”**

There are several liberal arguments today that Madison may have meant “this” or “that” and he couldn’t foresee the evolution of modern weapons. I disagree, the intent is quite clear and designed to stand the test of time.

**1.**  
**“A well regulated militia.”** Well regulated. Subject to the laws of the land, from the federal level, to the state level, to the local level. This includes limitations on the type of firearms that can be purchased or owned. As well as restrictions on who can legally purchase or own

them, as in the case of felons, underage, concealed carry, and so on. Well regulated.

2. **“Necessary to the security of a free state.”** Yet again, he chose his words wisely. Can the militia effectively defend the security of the state with slingshots while the oppressor has modern weapons? No. The defense must be prepared to counter the opposing force.

3. **“The right of the people to keep and bear arms, shall not be infringed.”** Shall not be infringed. That’s about as clear as it can be made. If still in doubt about the individual’s rights, reference *District of Columbia v. Heller, 2008*, where the Supreme Court held that the “Second Amendment protects an individual right to possess a firearm unconnected with service in a militia, and to use that arm for traditionally lawful purposes, such as **(not limited to)** self-defense within the home.”

Now, why is gun control, beyond what we already have today, so important to the far-left government? Let’s take a look at history. Specifically, let’s look beyond what some modern and re-written textbooks are telling us. Beyond what the liberal media and liberal search engines will tell you. The truth is out there.

Let’s look at Hitler’s ongoing seizure of power. The Nazi Weapons Law of November

11, 1938 prohibited Jews from “acquiring, possessing, and carrying firearms and ammunition, as well as truncheons or stabbing weapons,” and ordered them to turn in all guns and ammunition to local police. In addition, this effort was followed up by house to house searches and confiscations of these items. If you were friendly to Hitler’s cause you kept your weapons, of course. I don’t have to tell most people of the systematic detainment, torture, and deaths of Millions of Jews following the confiscation of their arms.

Still not convinced? Let’s look at Russia. The Communist government, in early 2018, ordered citizens to register all weapons. Then, in October 2018, this same government ordered citizens to surrender all firearms, ammunition, and sabres. (Easier to get them when you know where they are.) However, those sympathetic to the Communist movement got to keep their weapons, just like the Nazi’s. Over the next 30 years, tens of millions of the “political dissenters” were killed.

This article is already quite lengthy, there are other examples of this model (or variations of it) that you can research at your own leisure. When all is said and done gun control is just a means to an end, to facilitate the seizure of control and eliminate your opposition.

Red flag laws, among some other proposals, are an example of the beginning of this process. Red flag laws as originally proposed allow an anonymous collaborator to call in and your guns get seized, **without due process.** “I

don't like their political views and  
2a support, let me just make this one phone call..." . Or, "I'm  
angry at them and they're going  
to pay." Worse yet, a  
federal/state/local government that can just claim the call  
was made and then  
disarm you. These overreaching  
initiatives are just some of many steps in a direction that  
history has shown  
we **do not** need to go.

– Lan

7/19/19